

Web content and design – a review of eCommerce/eBusiness program sites

Elsie S. K. Chan

School of Information Systems
Deakin University
Melbourne, Australia
Email: elsie@elsiechan.com

Paula M.C. Swatman

Faculty of Informatics
University of Koblenz-Landau
Koblenz, Germany
Email: paula.swatman@uni-koblenz.de

Abstract

Web sites promote or provide information about products, and are the portals through which most electronic transactions are conducted (Singh and Dalal 1999, Kowtha and Choon 2001). Nowadays many universities offer eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs (Swatman and Chan 2001), with information embodied in associated web sites. It is less clear whether eCommerce techniques are utilized by universities at these sites for selling their educational “products” – degree programs. In this paper we apply Ho’s framework for evaluation of web sites (Ho 1997) to review eCommerce/eBusiness program web sites in Australia and Hong Kong SAR¹, and suggest ways in which universities could make better use of eCommerce techniques for their own portals.

Keywords

electronic commerce, electronic business, web content, web design

INTRODUCTION

The number of university degree programs in eCommerce/eBusiness increased dramatically between 1998 and 2000 (Chan and Swatman 2000; 2001) in the Asia Pacific region. Despite the dot.com crash in early 2000 (Buckman 2000), many universities continue to develop and offer eCommerce and eBusiness degree programs – for example, in 2002, 27 out of 38 (71%) Australian universities and 6 out of 8 (75%) universities in Hong Kong SAR were offering eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs at the undergraduate and masters levels (Chan 2002a, 2002b).

All the information relating to these degree programs is available through the universities’ web sites. In some universities, indeed, special web sites have been developed solely for these degree programs and many of them are offered (at least partially) by distance education as well as by face-to-face teaching, necessitating further use of web facilities. In Hong Kong SAR, students of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University can register for the programs online and even pay fees through internet banking. It is clear that the universities themselves are, to at least a limited extent, engaging in eCommerce activities in terms of offering their new degrees.

This Internet-friendliness is particularly important today, as students become increasingly eCommerce literate and make increasing demands for Internet access to materials and administrative services. Most prospective students of the eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs are 16 or older. A survey undertaken by NOIE – Australia’s National Office for the Information Economy – in 2002 found that, as at September 2001, a substantial proportion (72%) of the Australian population aged 16 years and over had access to the Internet from any site, placing Australia 5th out of the countries benchmarked².

¹ Hong Kong, which was a British colony for over 150 years, became a special administrative region (SAR) of China on the 1st of July 1997.

² Following Australia were South Korea (with 71% of persons 16 years and over having Internet access); Hong Kong SAR (69%); Ireland (66%); Singapore (65%); Taiwan (64%); the UK (61%); Germany (54%); France (48%); and Italy (46%).

These eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs can readily be shown to be “educational service products” (Chan and Swatman 2000a, 2002, Swatman and Chan 2001). While it may appear curious that we are using the term “products” for educational offerings, universities around the globe are increasingly applying market-oriented approaches to the attracting of students and the development of degree programs (as a cursory survey of any English-language university’s web page will make clear). Indeed, the increase in marketing staff within universities alone provides an indicator of this trend. Although universities are delivering eCommerce/eBusiness programs electronically and internet access is quite common to the group of age 16 or above, it is less clear whether universities are really applying eCommerce techniques for selling their educational “products” – degree programs. This raises the question of whether or not these universities are also using eCommerce techniques to support their product diversification and/or new product development.

As a component of a longer-term study into the development of eCommerce/eBusiness education in the Asia-Pacific region, we have investigated a number of university degree program web sites to see how they are being used to support the new degree initiatives. Two regions within the Asia-Pacific area were chosen for this purpose – Australia and Hong Kong SAR – because both experienced a common phenomenon: a significant proportion of the universities located in both these places suddenly started to offer eCommerce/eBusiness degrees in 2000 (Swatman and Chan 2001). For the purposes of this review, we studied 30 Australia and 6 Hong Kong SAR eCommerce/eBusiness degree program web sites. There are, of course, significant cultural and socio-economic differences between Australia and Hong Kong, which has implications for the discussion of our findings and which we discuss in more detail later in the paper.

In this paper, we initially discuss the issues of web site content and design, before explaining the methodology we used for our study in more detail. We then discuss the results of the web site analysis and finally draw some conclusions about the application of eCommerce techniques to the university web sites used to support eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs in these two regions. We also make some suggestions for possible future research activities in this area.

BACKGROUND

Nowadays web content design and strategies have been widely discussed (Fleming 1998, Nielsen 1998, Dalal, Quible and Wyatt 2000, Love 2000, Sellitto and Wenn 2000, Sherman 2001, Meehen 2001, Tilley and Huang 2001, Ranganathan and Ganapathy 2002). West, Huff and Turocy (2000) suggest that a good web content management implementation delivers highly personalised content, facilitates customer self-service, and boosts satisfaction and loyalty. Vidgen, Goodwin and Barnes (2001) present a framework for web content management in which a number of themes are identified, including content lifecycle management, repository and data/metadata management, and an awareness of the impacts of organizational change. Ding *et al.* (2002) summarise the ongoing research on semantic web technology that will improve the mechanization for finding, extracting, interpreting and processing information tasks. Ivory, Sinha and Hearst (2001) provide a set of important metrics; including page composition, page formatting, and overall page characteristics for web site design guidelines. Huizingh (2000) develops a research framework for distinguishing between the content and design aspects. Benbunan-Fich (2001) develops a methodical evaluation of the usability of commercial web sites. Ahuja and Webster (2001) suggest that the measurement of disorientation should be a key issue to consider when developing commercial web sites. Robbins and Stylianou (2002) present a conceptual model which differentiates web site content from design, using a framework adapted from Huizingh’s. The National Office for the Information Economy (2000) makes some suggestions to Australian SMEs for developing their eBusiness web sites:

A website can fulfil a number of objectives ranging from a place to pass on information about your products; improving your operational efficiency through better communications with your customers, suppliers and government; to building your revenue through online sales. You must also decide who is the target audience for your website. You may wish to target new customers or provide additional service to your existing customers. You should also decide the area for your potential market, it could be global, limited to Australia or a particular town or city.

Creating an online presence is not just a matter of putting a site together and sitting back waiting for the revenues to flow in. It is essentially like opening a new business and requires the same level of planning, control, monitoring and maintenance. The e-business channel must become fully integrated into the organization (Coleman 1998). Department of Industry and Technology (2002) suggests six steps for developing an eBusiness web site to ensure a successful venture into the online world.

Ho (1997) classified the business purposes of a commercial web site into three categories: *Promotion of product and services*, *Provision of data and information* and *Processing of business transactions*. Four types of value creation are identified: *Timely*, *Custom*, *Logistic* and *Sensational*. Ho's framework is illustrated in Table 1, below. Features were defined by starting with a set of conceptual guidelines, followed by the consideration of numerous cases that led to an iterative process of refinement. Typical examples of web site features which fitted each of the purpose-value combinations are listed.

Table 1: Ho's valuation framework for commercial web sites (Ho 1997)

Value \ Purpose	Promotion	Provision	Processing
Timely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • items on sale • special offers • product announcements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • stock quotes • employment opportunities • press releases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • on-line auctions • interactive brokering
Custom	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • product/service database search • customized product/service report 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • general database search • customized news report 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • custom orders • interactive consulting
Logistic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rates and fare quotes • facilities locator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • financial reports • research data • comparative, benchmark, and survey results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • on-line customer service • delivery or job status tracking
Sensational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • contests • sweepstakes, giveaways • outstanding web design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • freeware • games • puzzles • downloadable multimedia 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "surprise" discounts and bonuses • instant winners

We made use of Ho's framework in this paper for evaluating a range of eCommerce/eBusiness degree program web sites, because of its widespread use and general applicability in such cases. This framework has been used in many studies: for example, in a review of 1,000 North American commercial web sites (Ho 1996); a comparative study of 1800 web sites from USA, Australia, Taiwan, Singapore, Hong Kong, United Kingdom, Germany, France and Italy (Ho 1997); in a review of 60 New Zealand and world-wide tourism sites (Rachman 1999) and for a comparison of web-based business practices in Japan and the U.S. (Sakaguchi *et al.* 2001).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The most effective method of analysing web sites is content analysis. A number of authors have analysed web-based data content from a variety of perspectives (see, for example, Neuendorf 2002 for a broad-scale coverage of web-analysis tools; or Woodruff *et al.* 1996, which provides a structural analysis of 2.6 million HTML documents from the data base of the *Inktomi* search engine³). There are, of course, many different ways of analysing content, ranging from statistically to semantically-oriented approaches and from software-based to entirely manual approaches. Huizingh's (2000) research framework, for example, distinguishes between web content and design, although it (almost by definition) makes use of very subjective measures. When the researchers analysed a web site they almost always visited that particular site for the first time. It is, however, possible that a site is really aimed at regular customers and that subjective measures provided by repeat visitors would be different from those provided by first-time visitors. In this paper, Ho's evaluation framework for commercial web sites was chosen to overcome the limitations of Huizingh's approach.

The research questions with which we were concerned in our analysis of university web sites were:

1. What features should degree program web sites contain?
2. Do the eCommerce/eBusiness degree program web site features investigated really reflect the use of eCommerce strategies in terms of web content and design?

Chan (2002a, 2002b) identified those universities in Australia and Hong Kong SAR which offered eCommerce/eBusiness undergraduate and masters degrees. 27 Australian universities and 6 universities in Hong Kong SAR were found having met these criteria. Among these 27 Australian universities, 3 offered the degrees through two different schools/departments. We decided to review both of them and thus found ourselves with 30 Australia web sites. All 6 Hong Kong SAR eCommerce/eBusiness web sites are included in this review.

³ *Inktomi* is a global provider of information-retrieval solutions, including enterprise search and categorization software, and Web search services, < <http://www.inktomi.com/>>.

We found that we were able to apply Ho's (1997) framework directly to our two research questions, with only a very small amount of additional explanation in terms of headings required in the interests of clarity. In order to answer the first research question, we conducted interviews with university students, web developers and universities academic staff members in order to identify the features needed for the framework. The features for evaluation of degree program web sites also considered Ho's and Rachman's features in their frameworks. Twenty-two features were identified and listed in Table 2. The 'logistical' value was renamed 'general' after discussions with the interviewees. It should be noted, however, that the list is by no means either exhaustive or definitive.

Table 2: Evaluation framework for the review of eCommerce/ eBusiness degree program web sites

Value/Purpose	Promotion (products & services)	Provision (data & information)	Processing (business transactions)
Timely	1. Individual web site 2. Identification of the offering department 3. Program objectives 4. Program structure 5. Subjects description	12. Employment opportunities 13. Presses release	18. On-line enquiry form
Custom	6. Recognition of the professional bodies	14. Database search	19. Advanced standing
General	7. Entry requirement 8. Fee of the program 9. Scholarship or other financial support 10. Duration of the program	15. Contact information 16. Bench marking	20. On-line application 21. Payment method
Sensational	11. Outstanding web design	17. Catchy information display	22. Surprise discounts /bonuses

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The review examines whether the twenty-two features listed in Table 2 are present in the eCommerce/ eBusiness program web sites – and provides an answer to the first of our two research questions. A separate review for feature 11, *outstanding web design*, was undertaken using an automatic tool available at *NetMechanic*⁴ to determine whether a web site has an outstanding design. Since we have considered the content of the web site to be more important than the design issue, only five design areas are investigated. Appendix 1 explains the measure of the web design and shows the result of the *outstanding web design* of each web. Appendix 2 shows the result of the features identified in Australia and Hong Kong SAR eCommerce/eBusiness program web sites.

As the details of web sites can change rapidly, we choose not to refer to any specific URL or university by name. In our review we discuss three findings: the percentage of features present on the evaluation framework, Ho's β index (Ho, 1997), and the top five features present.

The Percentage of Features Present in the Evaluation Framework

Table 3 shows the percentage of features present in the evaluation framework (see Appendices 1 and 2 for details).

Table 3: The percentage of features present in the evaluation framework

Australia				Hong Kong SAR		
	Promotion	Provision	Processing	Promotion	Provision	Processing
Timely	100	47	10	100	33	33
Custom	23	70	13	17	100	17
General	100	97	20	100	100	100
Sensational	63	20	0	83	50	0

⁴ *NetMechanic* founded in 1996 by a team of Web professionals and is a provider of Web site tools, <<http://netmechanic.com/toolbox/html-code.htm>>.

The tables show that all sites (100%) have a feature in *timely* and *general-promotion*. With the exception of *timely-provision* and *custom-promotion*, all Hong Kong SAR categories have an equal or higher percentage of features present than those found in Australian web sites. The Australian *processing* categories have very few features present. Neither the Australian nor Hong Kong SAR web sites have any *sensational-processing* features present. These results show that in the current situation, most eCommerce/eBusiness program web sites are merely providing information to prospective students – in other words, these are so-called “brochure-ware” web sites (Clarke, 1999), although a small number of universities can make use of their web sites for on-line processing for such activities as on-line applications, on-line payment of program fees and on-line advanced standing processing. The figures also show that Hong Kong SAR web sites generally include more features than those in Australia. This distinction is difficult to explain, as universities in both Australia and Hong Kong SAR are equally dependent on student fees – and are therefore equally likely to develop attractive web sites as an added inducement to students. Further research on socio-economic and contextual differences between Australia and Hong Kong SAR is clearly needed in this area. For the moment, we hypothesise that the Hong Kong SAR universities may be more entrepreneurially inclined than their Australian counterparts.

Ho's β Index

Ho's β index is a crude coverage measure within the evaluation framework. The measure is the number of categories in the framework; i.e. the maximum β is 12 as there are 12 categories in the framework. Based on the total percentage found in this study, Ho's β index for Australia is 5.63 and for Hong Kong SAR is 7.33, i.e. Hong Kong SAR eCommerce/eBusiness web sites have more features than Australia web sites of the same type. This index can be used as an indicator for comparison when similar studies are carried out in the future.

Top Five Features

The top 5 features present in the evaluation framework are listed in Appendix 3. For Hong Kong SAR, all these 5 features are in the *promotion* category. For Australia, 4 features are in the *promotion* category, whereas only one is to be found in the *provision* category. This suggests that more emphasis has been put on “content driven” web sites and that there is less concern about on-line processing/transaction in the design of eCommerce / eBusiness program web sites.

These results provide the answer to research question 2. The features of eCommerce/eBusiness program web sites do not really reflect eCommerce strategies for web content and design issues. One of the major eCommerce goals is doing business online. The results of the study suggest, however, that most of the processing features needed for doing business were not available on the web sites. eCommerce concepts have not really been applied to the current eCommerce/eBusiness degree program web sites.

Other Issues

The Web Content Accessibility Guidelines Working Group (W3C 2002) drafted guidelines for web content developers and developers of authoring tools on how to make web content accessible to people with disabilities. Owens and Keller (2000) described the innovative browser design features which were developed in response to the Internet accessibility needs of Australian consumers with disabilities. Slatin (2001) also attempted to persuade web developers to make provision for people with disabilities. Many governments (including those of Australia and the U.S.) require official government web sites to make allowance for citizens with disabilities. They provide guidelines for developing web sites for people with disabilities, for example, the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2001). In the present study, however, we found that none of the web sites we studied provided alternatives for people with disabilities – an interesting issue, since many universities are, in fact, government funded institutions. Changes in the way web sites are designed may be necessary to enable all prospective students to take part and make full use of the technology.

Web usability research is also recommended as Murray and Costanzo (1999) stated that the key to web site usability was ensuring that the site was both useful and usable for the intended audience. Usable web sites can be assessed by the criteria: ease of learning, retention of learning over time, speed of task completion, error rate and subjective user satisfaction (Levi and Conrad 2001). With the increasing use of the Internet as a marketing and eCommerce tool business owners need to be more aware of the implications of poor design. Understanding the audience and how to design web sites to meet the needs of that audience is an important factor to online success (Fisher *et al.* 2000). In addition to the usability of a particular web site, it may be important to measure the impression users pick up from different web site designs and look at the extent to which you can compare user expectations to owner priorities (Procter and Symonds 2000).

All these issues need to be investigated for their relevance to degree program web sites – both by web developers and by degree program leaders. At present very little such investigation appears to be being

undertaken, but as universities continue to take a marketing-oriented approach to the dissemination of their degree programs, they will increasingly need to approach their web sites from these perspectives.

CONCLUSION

In the paper, we have made use of Ho's framework for evaluating eCommerce/eBusiness degree program web sites. Our numerical analysis suggests that these web sites do not truly reflect eCommerce strategies, but are rather information provision, for the most part. While we appreciate that the current figures and the derived results of the survey were not too important, as web sites are regularly being updated, the deduced phenomena behind such findings are valuable and worth exploring. In this section we make the following recommendations for more effective use of university promotional web sites:

Firstly, as a prime objective, universities should make full use of degree program web sites for the promotion of degree programs and for user-friendly online transaction in order to trim down the overhead administrative costs. Certainly, the move to online administration and, particularly, to user-based data entry and update of the sort which almost all banks are using today is a logical step for universities anxious to cut costs. Online fee payment, student entry/update of contact information, advanced standing and enrolment changes are obvious starting points for such cost-cutting, efficiency-enhancing activities.

Secondly, when web developers develop web sites, they may well wish to consider accessibility for that portion of the web audience with disabilities. Not only would this be very much in line with government policy, but it would mesh well with universities' generally strong interest in providing support to students less able to access material in the normal way. An additional benefit of such an approach is that universities providing such access might well attract fee-paying students with disabilities who prefer to study online, an increasingly attractive alternative for those with less than full mobility.

Finally, as many new degree programs emerge, program web sites should be studied for improvement to meet the increasing demand and expectations of the market - i.e. increasing universities will promote their products through web sites. The opportunities for entrepreneurial universities are significant and, as yet, few institutions appear to have realised the chances which are available. A study covering only Australia and Hong Kong SAR can, of course, provide only indicative evidence of the behaviour of universities around the world. A more widespread survey could offer a fascinating opportunity for comparison.

REFERENCES

Ahuja, J. S. and Webster, J. (2001) Perceived Disorientation: an Examination of a New Measure to Assess Web Design Effectiveness, *Interacting with Computers*, vol. 14, pp.15-29.

Benbunan-Fich, R. (2001) Using Protocol Analysis to Evaluate the Usability of a Commercial Web Site. *Information & Management*, 39, pp. 151-163.

Buckman, R. (2000) Who Caused the Dot-Com Crash? *The Wall Street Journal*, 5 March.

Chan, E.S.K. and Swatman, P.M.C. (2000) *Electronic Commerce Careers: A Preliminary Survey of the Online Marketplace*, Proceedings of the 13th Bled Electronic Commerce Conference, 19-21 June, Bled, Slovenia, pp. 1-20.

Chan, E.S.K. and Swatman, P.M.C. (2000a) B2C New Service Products in the 'e' age: eCommerce/eBusiness Degree Programs, *Proceedings of the Fifth COLLECTeR Conference on Electronic Commerce*, Brisbane, Australia, December 13-14.

Chan, E.S.K. and Swatman, P.M.C. (2001) *eBusiness and Information Systems: Academic Programs in Australia and New Zealand in the e-age*, Proceedings of the 14th International Electronic Commerce Conference, Bled, Slovenia, 25-26 June, pp. 117-142.

Chan, E.S.K. and Swatman, P.M.C. (2002) *Are eCommerce Learning Programs durable market products? Six Case Studies from Hong Kong SAR*, Proceedings of the ISOneWorld Conference, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, 4-5 April.

Chan, E.S.K. (2002a) Universities which offer / are planning to offer eCommerce Course/Program in Australia. <http://www.deakin.edu.au/mis/elsieEC/au_U.htm>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).

Chan, E.S.K. (2002b) Universities which offer / are planning to offer eCommerce Course/Program in Hong Kong SAR. <http://www.deakin.edu.au/mis/elsieEC/hk_U.htm>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).

- Clarke, R.C. (1999) Electronic Service Delivery: from Brochure-ware to Entry Points, <<http://www.anu.edu.au/people/Roger.Clarke/EC/ESD.html>>, (Accessed 28 May 2002).
- Coleman, K. (1998) Make Your Web Site a Business Success, *e-Business Advisor*, Sept. vol.16, no. 9, pp.12-17.
- Dalal, N. P., Quible, Z. and Wyatt, K. (2000) Cognitive Design of Home Pages: an Experimental study of comprehension on the World Wide Web, *Information Processing and Management*, 36, pp. 607-621.
- Department of Industry and Technology, Government of Western Australia (2002) *Six Simple Steps to Your Own Web-site*. <<http://www.ecommercecentre.online.wa.gov.au/guides/start9.htm>>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- Ding, Y., Fensel, D., Klein, M. and Omelayenko, B. (2002) The Semantic Web: Yet Another Hip?, *Journal of Data and Knowledge Engineering*, 41, pp.205-227.
- Fisher, J., Craig, A. and Bentley, J. (2000) A Comparison of Business Owner and User Evaluations of Web Sites, *Proceedings of the 11th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, 6-8 Dec., Brisbane, Australia.
- Fleming, J. (1998) *Web Navigation: Designing the User Experience*. O'Reilly and Associates, Sebastopol.
- Ho, J. (1996) Evaluating the World Wide Web: A Study of 1000 Commercial Sites, *Information Decision Sciences*, University of Illinois, Chicago, June.
- Ho, J. (1997) Evaluating the World Wide Web: A Global Study of Commercial Sites, *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication*, 3(1), June. <<http://www.ascusc.org/jcmc/vol3/issue1/ho.html>>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- Huizingh, E.K.R.E. (2000) The Content and Design of Web Sites: an Empirical Study, *Journal of Information and Management*, 37, pp.123-134.
- Ivory, M. Y., Sinha, R. R. and Hearst, M. A. (2001) Empirically Validated Web Page Design Metrics, *CHI2001*, Vol.3, Iss. 1, pp.53-60.
- Kowtha, N. R. and Choon, T. W. I. (2001) Determinants of Website development: a Study of Electronic Commerce in Singapore, *Information and Management*, 39, pp.227-242.
- Levi, M. D. and Conrad, F. G. (2001) Usability Testing of World Wide Web Sites, *U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics*, last updated 16 Oct, <http://stats.bls.gov/ore/htm_papers/st960150.htm>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- Love, T. (2000) Computerising Affective Design Cognition, *International Journal of Design Computing*, vol. 2.
- Meehan, M. (2001) Develop Your Web Content Strategy, *Computerworld*, Vol. 35, Issue 1, pp.20-22.
- Murray, G. and Costanzo, T. (1999) Usability and the Web: An Overview, *Network Notes #61*, Information Technology Services, National Library of Canada.
- National Office for the Information Economy (2000) *eBusiness-where to start*, <<http://www.noie.gov.au/Projects/ecommerce/Wheretostartforsmes/Index.htm>>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- National Office for the Information Economy (2002) *Internet Access Versus Internet Usage*, <http://www.noie.gov.au/Projects/information_economy/research&analysis/ie_stats/CSOP_April2002/CSOP_pages/access_vs_usage.htm>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- Neuendorf, K.A. (2002) *The Content Analysis Guidebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nielsen, J. (1998) Introduction to Web Design, *ACM*, April, pp.107-108.
- Owens, J. and Keller, S. (2000) Multiweb: Australian Contribution to Web Accessibility, *Proceedings of the 11th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, 6-8 Dec., Brisbane, Australia.
- Procter, C. and Symonds, J. (2000) Designing for Web Site Usability, *Proceedings of the 11th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, 6-8 Dec., Brisbane, Australia.
- Rachman, Z. M. (1999) *Effective Tourism web sites: A web-Based survey and Tourism Web Sites*, unpublished Masters thesis, Department of Management Systems, University of Waikato.
- Ranganathan, C. and Ganapathy, S. (2002) Key Dimensions of Business-to-Consumer Web Sites, *Journal of Information and Management*, 39, pp.457-465.

- Robbins, S.S. and Stylianou, A. C. (2002) Global Corporate Web Sites: an Empirical Investigation of Content and Design, *Journal of Information and Management*, pp.1-8.
- Sakaguchi, T., Palvia, P. C. and Janz, B. D. (2001) Business Practices on the World Wide Web: A Comparison of Japanese and U.S. Web Sites, *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, Vol. 4. No. 2, April.
- Sellitto, C. and Wenn, A. (2000) Business Web Sites: Simple Guidelines and Practice for Checking if a Site Conforms to Emerging Standards, *Proceedings of 1st Web Conference*, 30 Nov. – 1 Dec., Perth, Australia.
- Sherman, C. (2001) Eight Essential Strategies for Repurposing Content for the Web, *EContent*, Vol. 24, Issue 2, pp.20-30.
- Singh, S. N. and Dalal, N. P. (1999) Web Home Pages as Advertisements, *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 42, No. 8, pp.91-98.
- Slatin, J.M. (2001) The Art of ALT: Toward a More Accessible Web, *Journal of Computers and Composition*, 18, pp. 73-81.
- Swatman, P.M.C. and Chan, E.S.K. (2001) eCommerce / eBusiness Education: Pedagogy or New Product Development? in Werthner H. and Bichler M. eds. *Readings in E-Commerce*, Springer-Verlag, pp. 177-216.
- The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2001) *Tips for Making Your Web Pages More Accessible*, <http://www.info.gov.hk/digital21/eng/knowledge/access_tips.html>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- Tilley, S. and Huang, S. (2001) Evaluating the Reverse Engineering Capabilities of Web Tools for Understanding Site Content and Structure: A Case Study, *IEEE*, pp.514-523.
- Vidgen, R., Goodwin, S. and Barnes, S (2001) Web Content Management, *Proceedings of the 14th International Electronic Commerce Conference*, Bled, Slovenia, 25-26 June, pp.465-480.
- W3C (2002) Requirements for WCAG 2.0, *W3C Working Draft*, 26 April, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/WD-wcag2-req-20020426>>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).
- West, K., Huff, R. and Turocy, P. (2000) Managing Contents on the Web, *Network Computing*, Vol. 11, Issue 21, pp. 50-55.
- Woodruff, A., Aoki, P.M., Brewer, E., Gauthier, P. and Rowe, L.A. (1996) An Investigation of Documents from the World Wide Web, *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, 28(7-11) pp. 963-980, May. <<http://www2.parc.com/csl/members/woodruff/publications/1996-Woodruff-WWW5-WWW.pdf>>, (Accessed on 28 May 2002).

APPENDIX 1

Explanations of the Measures of Web Design

NetMechanic (<http://netmechanic.com/toolbox/html-code.htm>)

Five NetMechanic's tools are used to test the performance of each web site. They are: link check, HTML check & repair, browser compatibility, load time and spell check. The following figure shows a sample of result.

Page Summary

URL: http://www.deakin.edu.au/mis/elsieEC/au_U.htm

Date Tested: Monday, May 13, 19:18 EDT

Exceeded 25 Link Limit for This Page

This report shows the status of the **first 25 links** on a tested page. Test up to 10000 links with the subscription version of HTML Toolbox. [Click here](#) to subscribe.

Tool	Rating	Summary	
Link Check	☆☆☆☆☆	1 bad link	View a Detailed Report
Bad Links Summary Report	☆☆☆☆☆	1 bad link	View a Demo Report
Remote Links Summary Report	☆☆☆☆☆	1 bad link	View a Demo Report
HTML Check & Repair	☆☆☆☆	29 errors	View a Detailed Report
Browser Compatibility	☆☆☆☆	4 problems	View a Detailed Report
Load Time	☆☆☆☆	31.78 seconds	View a Detailed Report
Spell Check	☆☆☆☆	87 possible errors	View a Detailed Report

The followings are the explanations of the rating.

Link Check Rating

Your page rating is based on the number of bad links on your page.

Ratings:

- 5 stars equal to 0 bad links
- 4 stars equal to 1 bad link
- 3 stars equal to 2 bad links
- 2 stars equal to 3 bad links
- 1 star has greater than 3 bad links

Load Time Rating

Your rating is based on the time required to load your page using a 28.8 modem. A 2 second connection time penalty is added for every Web server that must be accessed to load your page and its graphics.

Ratings:

- 5 stars - loads in less than or equal to 13 seconds
- 4 stars - loads in less than or equal to 24 seconds
- 3 stars - loads in less than or equal to 35 seconds
- 2 stars - loads in less than or equal to 46 seconds
- 1 star - loads in greater than 46 seconds

In addition, your rating will be lowered by 1 star if you have HTML problems on your page that affect load time.

To get a 5 star score, keep the size of your page and all its graphics below 40k, only connect to one Web server, and keep your page free of HTML errors.

HTML Check & Repair Rating

Your rating is based on the number of HTML errors found on the page.

Ratings:

- 5 stars equal to no errors
- 4 stars less than or equal to 6 errors
- 3 stars less than or equal to 12 errors
- 2 stars less than or equal to 18 errors
- 1 star greater than 18 errors

Browser Compatibility Rating

Your rating is based on the number of compatibility problems affecting more than 10% of your visitors.

Ratings:

- 5 stars equal to no compatibility problems
- 4 stars less than or equal to 4 problems
- 3 stars less than or equal to 8 problems
- 2 stars less than or equal to 12 problems
- 1 star greater than 12 problems

Spell Check Rating

Your rating is based on the percentage of suspected misspellings on your page.

Ratings:

- 5 stars equal to no misspellings
- 4 stars less than or equal to 5% misspellings
- 3 stars less than or equal to 10% misspellings
- 2 stars less than or equal to 15% misspellings
- 1 star greater than 15% misspellings

Note: since the tool does not cope with some new specific words, e.g. eCommerce, it provides a list of misspelling words and in fact they are not. Therefore we investigate the output result and identify whether those mentioned words are misspelling or not. The rating returned from the audit result of a web site is from 1 to 5 (1=poor and 5 = excellent) for each criterion and for overall rating. If the overall rating is 4 or above then Feature 11, *outstanding web design* is considered to be present.

The following tables show the results of the web design of each site.

Australian web sites	au1	au2	au3	au4	au5	au6	au7	au8	au9	au10	au11	au12	au13	au14	au15	au16	au17	au18	au19	au20	au21	au22	au23	au24	au25	au26	au27	au28	au29	au30
Link Check	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	
HTML Check and Repair	1	4	4	3	4	1	5	4	4	0	5	1	4	1	4	3	4	2	4	4	1	2	1	1	4	5	1	4	5	4
Browser Compatibility	1	4	5	2	4	4	5	4	3	3	5	2	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	5	3	5	4	4	3	4
Load Time	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	5	1	5	2	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
Spell Check	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Average	2.8	4.2	4.4	3.6	4.6	4	4.8	4	4.4	2.6	5	3	4.6	3.8	4.6	3.8	4.2	4	4.4	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8	4.2	5	3.6	4.4	4.4	4.4

Hong Kong web sites	hk1	hk2	hk3	hk4	hk5	hk6
Link Check	5	5	5	5	5	5
HTML Check and Repair	4	4	5	2	5	4
Browser Compatibility	4	4	5	2	5	5
Load Time	4	4	5	2	4	3
Spell Check	5	5	5	5	4	5

APPENDIX 2

Number and Percentage of Features Present from the Review of 36 Web Sites.

No.	Features	Explanations of features	Au No.	HK No.	Au %	HK %
1	Individual web site	Individual web site showing exclusively information for the eCommerce/eBusiness degree programs.	24	5	80.0	83.3
2	Identification of the offering department	The department which offers the eCommerce/eBusiness can be identified by the web visitor.	25	6	83.3	100.0
3	Program objectives	The objectives of the degree programs are listed.	13	6	43.3	100.0
4	Program structure	The structures of the degree programs are listed.	27	6	90.0	100.0
5	Subject description	A brief description of the subjects which are required for the degree programs.	22	6	73.3	100.0
6	Recognition of professional bodies	A list of professional bodies which recognises the qualification of the degree programs.	7	1	23.3	16.7
7	Entry requirements	The entry requirement for admission.	15	5	50.0	83.3
8	Fee of the program	The fee for studying the degree program.	9	5	30.0	83.3
9	Scholarship or other financial support	Scholarship or financial support for students.	8	3	26.7	50.0
10	Duration of the program	The duration of the study of the program.	24	6	80.0	100.0
11	Outstanding web design	Details refer to Appendix 1.	19	5	63.3	83.3
12	Employment opportunities	List out employment opportunities for graduates.	11	0	36.7	0.0
13	Presses release	News related to eCommerce or eBusiness.	3	2	10.0	33.3
14	Database search	The url of the degree program is shown by searching through key words "eCommerce" or "eBusiness" at the university home page, or that a pull down menu is available for visitors to select the program.	21	6	70.0	100.0
15	Contact information	Photographs of staff, telephone numbers, fax numbers or email addresses are available.	23	6	76.7	100.0
16	Bench marking	Data or information to show that their degree programs are superior to others.	6	2	20.0	33.3
17	Catchy information display	Using Java Applets, video to introduce the program.	6	3	20.0	50.0
18	On-line enquiry form	On-line enquiry form for prospective students.	3	2	10.0	33.3
19	Advanced standing	Whether it lists out information on credits for work done elsewhere - ideally prospective students can check whether their previous qualification can match the present program.	4	1	13.3	16.7
20	On-line application	Prospective students can apply for admission into the program online.	0	1	0.0	16.7
21	Payment method	The explanation of payment method of program fees is listed.	6	6	20.0	100.0
22	Surprise discounts/bonuses	Any bonus or surprise when students have visited the web or finished the on-line application process.	0	0	0.0	0.0

APPENDIX 3

The Top 5 Features Present in the Evaluation Framework.

Rank	No.	Features	% present
1	4	Program structure	90.0
2	2	Identification of the offering department	83.3
3	1	Individual web site	80.0
4	10	Duration of Program	80.0
5	15	Contact information	76.7

Australia

Rank	No.	Features	% present
1	2	Identification of the offering department	100.0
2	3	Program objectives	100.0
3	4	Program structure	100.0
4	5	Subject description	100.0
5	10	Duration of the program	100.0

Hong Kong

COPYRIGHT

Chan E. S. K., Swatman P.M.C. © 2002. The authors assign to ACIS and educational and non-profit institutions a non-exclusive licence to use this document for personal use and in courses of instruction provided that the article is used in full and this copyright statement is reproduced. The authors also grant a non-exclusive licence to ACIS to publish this document in full in the Conference Papers and Proceedings. Those documents may be

published on the World Wide Web, CD-ROM, in printed form, and on mirror sites on the World Wide Web. Any other usage is prohibited without the express permission of the authors.